Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 1282 Case file # 26-TP-27922.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial for Kohut and Rourk. Transcript provided. Case resulted in guilty plea for Pellett.

•	INDEPE	NDENT CA	ASE RE	VIEW RI	EPORT	
Independent Revie	ew conducted by:	Steve Roberts	son			
Area(s) of Experti	se: Hair and Fit					
Review commence	ed at: 9:00 AM	(Time),	11/07/01	(Dat	:e)	
File #: 26A-TI (95A-H	P-27922 (Q-1043718)					
Laboratory #(s):	30113028					
	30115002					
<u>.</u>						
		Examiner(s) & Symt	ools		
	Reviewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X¤	-			Ħ	٥
TD, UD, ZT, ZZ, Z	<u>c</u> -	Χ¤			0	a
	_ •	0			0	0
			s Reviewe	d		
Trial testimony tran	script(s) of:	Michael Malone				
Testimony	Date(s): 1993	?	Pages:	2200-2288		
Laboratory Report(s):	:					
Laboratory l	Number: 3011	3028/30115002		Date:	Feb 11, 1993	
Laboratory l	·Vumber:			Date:		
Laboratory N	Number:			Date:		_
Examiner Bench No	tes of: RQ a	nd unknown tech	nicians			
Laboratory	Number: 30113	3028		_ _		
	30115	5002		_		
		Page 1	of 4	_		
		Initials:	SUR	_	<u>CRM</u> -	10856

Results of Review File #: 26A-TP-27922	If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letters dated 1-12-93 and 1-14-93 and itemized list of evidence submitted showing apparent evidence transfer within FBI Lab				
File #: 26A-TP-27922 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q5, Q7-Q15, Q39-Q42, Q47, Q259, Q63-Q73, Q75, Q77-Q82, Q84-Q91, K11-K14 Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses					
File #: 26A-TP-27922 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q5, Q7-Q15, Q39-Q42, Q47, Q259, Q63-Q73, Q75, Q77-Q82, Q84-Q91, K11-K14 Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.					
Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Review of Testimony: Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses		Results of R	eview		
Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.	File #: 26A-	TP-27922 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed:	Q5, Q7-Q1	5, Q39-Q42, Q47, Q52-
Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.	——— Q59, Q63-Q73, Q	Q75, Q77-Q82, Q84-Q91, K11-K14	_		
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.			(c) and Benck	Notes	
Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses		<u></u>	•		
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? Yes X No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented the bench notes? Yes X No Unable to Determine Review of Testimony: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.					ses .
additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Transcript not available.	methods	s, protocols, and analytic techniques available a Yes	it the time of th X□No□U	ne original nable to Do	examination(s)? etermine
•	methods Are the	examination results set forth in the laboratory in the notes? Review of Testi	at the time of the X no	ne original mable to Do ted and ade mable to Do	examination(s)? etermine quately documented in
	methods 2) Are the	examination results set forth in the laboratory is h notes? Review of Testi	at the time of the X no	ne original mable to Do ted and ade nable to Do	examination(s)? etermine equately documented in etermine
) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? □ Yes X □ No □ Unable to Determ	methods Are the the bence	examination results set forth in the laboratory results notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	at the time of the X no	ne original mable to Do ted and ade nable to Do	examination(s)? etermine equately documented in etermine
) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? □ Yes X□No □ Unable to Determine the Determinant of the Determ	methods 2) Are the the bence	examination results set forth in the laboratory in the notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	at the time of the X no	ne original mable to Do ted and ade nable to Do	examination(s)? etermine equately documented in etermine
Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? X□ Yes □ No □ Unable to Determine X□ Yes □ No □ Unable to Determine Yes □ No □ Unable Una	methods Are the the bence Transcript not Testimony con	Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab available. Insistent with the laboratory report(s)?	at the time of the X no no no under the time of the X no	in a principal in able to Do ted and ade in able to Do or on e" Respons	examination(s)? etermine equately documented in etermine
	methods Are the the bence Transcript not Testimony con	Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab available. Insistent with the laboratory report(s)?	at the time of the X no no no under the time of the X no	inable to De ted and ade inable to De or on e" Respons X □ No	examination(s)? etermine equately documented in etermine sees

Page 2 of 4 Initials: Sur

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 26A-TP-27922				
#1: The fiber examinations were limited to looking for a transfer of carpet fibers from the victim's Cadillac to				
the suspects' Blazer and not vice versa. The examiner testifies (p-2277, #21 to p 2279, #11) the	at-he didn't look			
look for a carpet fiber transfer from the suspects' Blazer to the victim's Cadillac because he w	asn't asked to and			
(p 2286, #5-18) because there is such a low probability of an indirect transfer like that taking	place. If the			
probability of that type of transfer is so low, then one must wonder why he searched for carpe	t fibers from the			
Cadillac in the Blazer in the first place. A senior examiner should know to conduct analysis he	e feels may be			
important to the case without being asked, or to at least discuss it with the investigator, even it	f the probability of			
detecting a transfer is low.				
#1: With microscopic hair comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the examinat	ion was conducted			
in an appropriate manner.				
Review completed at: 2:45 PM (Time), 11/07/2001 (Date)				
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 5.75 hr.				
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of4 pages. 11/07/2001				
(Signature)	(Date)			

Page 3 of 4
Initials: SW

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

#2: Documentation is poor. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil instead of ink. The technicians
fail to document the recovery of hairs and fibers from most of the Q items. Some abbreviations are used with no
explanation of their meaning.
#3: Testimony (p 2214, #5) was given that a small hair fragment found on Q1 was examined. The report makes
no reference to this hair and its examination.
#4: Testimony (p 2214, #5) was given that a small hair fragment found on Q1 was examined. Documentation of
this hair and its examination were not found in the case notes
#4: The examiner testifies he found 2 brown and I white Caucasian head hairs in the victim's Cadillac (p 2224,
#21). The case notes indicate that Q53 (vacuum sample from Cadillac) had 1 brown and 2 white Caucasian head
hairs, Q85(back of rear seat of Cadillac) had 1 brown and 1 white Caucasian head hairs and Q86 (carpet rear
floor of Cadillac) had 1 brown Caucasian head hair.
#4: Testimony (p 2227, #19) was "it was impossible to do any type of hair and fiber exam on the shirt""it was
too badly charred". The examination notes show that hairs were recovered from Q39 (victim's charred shirt) and
were examined. The hairs were identified (assuming the examiner's notes are interpreted correctly) as 1 brown
limb hair of Caucasian origin that is not suitable for comparison and 1 animal hair.
#4: The examiner testifies (p 2236, #1) that the carpet in the suspects' and victim's vehicles are very similar,
however, that is not documented in his notes.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·

File #: 26A-TP-27922

Page 4 of 4

Initials: Sur

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 1282 Case file # 26-TP-27922.

Material Examiner:

Lasswell (TD)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial for Kohut and Rourk. Transcript provided. Case resulted in guilty plea for Pellett.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW RE	EPORT		
Independent Review conducted by: Allan N. Walters			
Area(s) of Expertise: <u>Occelerant</u> analysis			
Review commenced at: 1:20 (Time), 5.122.1.01 (Date)			
File#: 26-TP-27922			
Laboratory #(s): 30//3028 5 RQ TD VD			
30/15-002 S/D RQ TDUDE	27 2	ZZC	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	
Examiner(s) & Symbols			
Lasswell(17D) Reviewed Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	
	0	d	
0 0	0		
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: \[\begin{align*} \textit{ASSWELL} & (TD) \\ \text{Testimony Date(s): } \text{Pot} & //stecl \text{Pages: } \text{2522} - \\ \text{Laboratory Report(s): } & 301/3028 & RQ TO VD \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Date: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Date: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Date: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Dotate: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{DO1/3028 & RQ TO VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Do1/5002 & S/b } \text{RQ TD VD } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } \\ \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } & \text{Laboratory Number: } \\ Laboratory Number	6, 2T	/11/93 ZZZC —	6/11/9 <u>3</u>
Initials: Au			

 					
Was any other material reviewed? X Yes 🗆 1			, i		
If yes, please identify and/or describe the material:	: 8- G	-C/r	ns instrument		
printouts		,			
primours					
			•		
Results e	of Review	,			
File #: 26TP27922 Item or	Specimen #1	Reviewed	: Q2, Q4, Q5,Q7,Q8-10		
Q11-Q17, Q39-Q44, Q47, Q51	Q52, C	57	-Q62,Q82 Q84-Q8		
Q95, Q96. Review of Laboratory Re	•		, ,		
Note: Numbered comment additional pages for any "No" or					
Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in protocols, and analytic techniques available at the Y		riginal e			
2) Are the examination results set forth in the laborate bench notes?			and adequately documented in the ole to Determine		
: Review of	Testimony	;			
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses					
☐ Transcript not available.					
3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?	Yes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine		
4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	Yes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine		
5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?	XYes	□ No	□ Unable to Determine		

Page 2 of 3
Initials AW

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed) Review completed at: 3,20 (Time). 5,22,0/(Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 2 hrs I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of _______ pages. Allan 7. Walter 5/22/01 (Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3